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#### Abstract

We obtain the generalised exponents of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ by a method due to Kostant. Using this result we prove the hypothesis of Couture and Sharp about the existence of an integrity basis of the universal eveloping algebra of the $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ algebra, considered as an sl( $3, \mathbb{C}$ ) module.


The $L$-module structure of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathrm{U}(L)$ of a semisimple Lie algebra $L$ was shown to be completely described by the generalised exponents in the fundamental paper [1] by Kostant. This structure appears to be significant in the study of the physical models with dynamical symmetries [2].

Let $Z(L)$ denote the centre of $\mathrm{U}(L), D$ denote the set of dominant weights of $L$, $V_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \in D)$ denote the simple $L$ module with the highest weight $\Lambda$ and $M(\Lambda)$ denote the multiplicity of the weight 0 of $V_{\Lambda}$. Then [1,3] the $Z(L)$ module $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ has a basis formed of $M(\Lambda)$ homogeneous elements. The degrees of these homogeneous elements $m_{1}(\Lambda) \leqslant m_{2}(\Lambda) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant m_{M(\Lambda)}(\Lambda)$ are independent of the choice of the basis and are called the generalised exponents of the $L$ module $V_{A}$. In the paper [1] Kostant has given the following recipe for the calculation of the generalised exponents. If $\operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C} e+\mathbb{C} f+\mathbb{C} h$ is a principal Lie subalgebra of $L[3]([e, f]=h,[h, e]=e$, $[h, f]=-f)$ then the generalised exponents are the eigenvalues of $h$ restricted to the subspace $W$ of $V_{A}$ the elements of which vanish under $L^{e}$ (the centraliser of $e$ in $L$; $L^{e}=\{x \in L ;[x, e]=0\}$ ).

We shall apply this recipe to the particular case $L=\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$. The fundamental weights of sl $(3, \mathbb{C})$ are denoted by $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$. Then $D=\left\{\Lambda ; \Lambda=k_{1} \Lambda_{1}+k_{2} \Lambda_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}\right.$ are integers $\geqslant 0\}$ and $D_{0}=\left\{\Lambda \in D ; \max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)-\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\right\}$ is the set of all $\Lambda \in D$ for which $M(\Lambda) \neq 0$.

Theorem. Let $\Lambda \in D_{0}$. Then the generalised exponents of the sl(3, $\left.\mathbb{C}\right)$-module $V_{\Lambda}$ are free of multiplicity and are given by

$$
m_{i}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+i-1
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$.
Proof. Let $\left\{a_{i j}\right\}, i, j=1,2,3$, denote a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ with the Lie brackets

$$
\left[a_{i j}, a_{k l}\right]=\delta_{j k} a_{i l}-\delta_{i l} a_{j k}
$$

and with the constraint $a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}=0$. The fundamental module $V_{\Lambda_{1}}$ is a complex three-dimensional vector space. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ be a basis in $V_{\Lambda_{1}}$ and let $e_{i j} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)$ be defined by $e_{i j} v_{k}=\delta_{j k} v_{i}$. Then the action of $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ on $V_{\Lambda_{1}}$ is defined by

$$
a_{i j}=e_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\left(e_{11}+e_{22}+e_{33}\right) / 3
$$

$V_{\Lambda_{2}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(V_{\Lambda_{1}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ and for any $\Lambda \in D$ the module $V_{\Lambda}$ is obtained from $V_{\Lambda_{1}}$ and $V_{\Lambda_{2}}$ by the Cartan-Weyl method [4]. In this way the action of $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ on $V_{A}$ is defined for any $\Lambda \in D$. The elements of $V_{A}$ are labelled by the standard Young tableaux:

or by the corresponding Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns:

| $q_{13}$ |  | $q_{23}$ |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $q_{12}$ |  | $q_{22}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $q_{11}$ |  |  |  |

We shall take the sl(2, $\mathbb{C})$ principal subalgebra of the sl( $3, \mathbb{C}$ ) algebra to be $\mathbb{C} e+\mathbb{C} f+\mathbb{C} h$ with $e=a_{12}+a_{23}, f=a_{21}+a_{32}$ and $h=2 a_{11}+a_{22}=e_{11}-e_{33}$. The centraliser $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})^{e}$ of the principal nilpotent element $e$ is generated by $z_{1}=e$ and $z_{2}=e_{13}$. By definition $W=\operatorname{ker} z_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} z_{2}$. We observe that $\operatorname{ker} z_{2}$ is generated by the elements of $V_{A}$ which do not contain $v_{3}$ or which contain $v_{3}$ only in the antisymmetric pairs $v_{1} \wedge v_{3}$. These elements $u_{i, j}$ are labelled by the Gel'fand-Zetlin patterns

| $k_{1}+k_{2}$ | $k_{2}$ |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{1}+k_{2}$ |  | $j$ |  |
|  | $i$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

with $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k_{2} \leqslant i \leqslant k_{1}+k_{2}$. From the above definitions we have evidently $h u_{i, j}=$ $\left(i+j-k_{2}\right) u_{i, j}$ and

$$
z_{1} u_{i, j}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}-i\right) u_{i+1, j}+\left(k_{2}-j\right) u_{i, j+1}
$$

Any vector $w=\Sigma b_{i, j} u_{i, j}$ from ker $z_{2}$ belongs to $W$ if and only if $z_{1} w=0$. From this equation we obtain the following restrictions on the coefficients $b_{i, j}: b_{i, 0}=0$ for $i=$ $k_{2}, k_{2}+1, \ldots, k_{1}+k_{2} ; b_{k_{2}, j}=0$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, k_{2}$, and

$$
b_{i-1, j}=-\left(k_{2}-j+1\right) b_{i, j-1} /\left(k_{1}+k_{2}-i+1\right)
$$

for $i=k_{2}+1, \ldots, k_{1}+k_{2}$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, k_{2}$. Because this recurrence relation conserves the value of $i+j$ it follows that all coefficients $b_{i, j}$ with $i+j$ equal to $k_{2}, k_{2}+1, \ldots, k_{1}+k_{2}$ or to $k_{2}, k_{2}+1, \ldots, 2 k_{2}$ vanish. Hence the values of $i+j$ for the non-vanishing coefficients $b_{i, j}$ are $i+j=k_{1}+2 k_{2}-r$ with $r=0,1, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. For each such value of $i+j$ we obtain a vector $w_{r}$ from $W$ :

$$
w_{r}=\sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^{s}\binom{r}{s} u_{k_{1}+k_{2}+s-r, k_{2}-s}
$$

Because $h w_{r}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}-r\right) w_{r}, r=0,1, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$, it follows that the vectors $w_{r}$ are linearly independent and hence that $\operatorname{dim} W=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$. The generalised exponents are exactly these eigenvalues taken in the increasing order and labelled from 1 to $\operatorname{dim} W: m_{i}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+i-1$ where $i=1,2, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$. QED

Remark 1. The result of this theorem can be obtained also as a consequence of example 1 and the first remark of the important paper [5] by Hesselink.

Remark 2. As was first observed by Couture and Sharp [6] the calculation of the generalised exponents is an easy task if we suppose the validity of their hypothesis about the existence of an integrity basis [7].

In order to formulate the Couture and Sharp hypothesis [7] about the existence of an integrity basis it is necessary to define the Cartan product $h_{\Lambda} h_{\Omega} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda+\Omega}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ of two homomorphisms $h_{\Lambda} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ and $h_{\Omega} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Omega}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$. Firstly we define an element $\overparen{h_{\Lambda} h_{\Omega}}$ from $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda} \otimes V_{\Omega}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ associated with any pair $\left(h_{A}, h_{\Omega}\right)$. This is the homomorphism which applies any vector $v_{\Lambda} \otimes v_{\Omega} \in V_{\Lambda} \otimes V_{\Omega}$ into $\left(h_{\Lambda}\left(v_{\Lambda}\right) h_{\Omega}\left(v_{\Omega}\right)+h_{\Omega}\left(v_{\Omega}\right) h_{\Lambda}\left(v_{\Lambda}\right)\right) / 2 \in \mathrm{U}(L)$. The Cartan product $V_{\Lambda+\Omega}$ of $V_{\Lambda}$ and $V_{\Omega}$ is a simple submodule of $V_{\Lambda} \otimes V_{\Omega}$ and let $E_{\Lambda+\Omega}: V_{\Lambda+\Omega} \rightarrow V_{\Lambda} \otimes V_{\Omega}$ be the corresponding embedding. Then $h_{\Lambda} h_{\Omega}=h_{\Omega} h_{\Lambda}=\widetilde{h_{\Lambda} h_{\Omega}} \circ E_{\Lambda+\Omega} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda+\Omega}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ will be called the Cartan product of $h_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $h_{\Omega}$. Evidently degree $\left(h_{\Lambda} h_{\Omega}\right)=$ degree $h_{\Lambda}+$ degree $h_{\Omega}$.

Following Dynkin [8] we shall say that a homomorphism $h_{\Omega}$ is subordinate to a homomorphism $h_{\Lambda}$ if there exists a non-trivial homomorphism $h_{\Xi}$ such $h_{\Lambda}=h_{\Omega} h_{\Xi}$. An integrity basis of the monoid generated by the Cartan product on the set $\left\{\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{\Lambda}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right) ; \Lambda \in D_{0}\right\}$ is defined as the set of the homomorphisms which are subordinate to at least one homomorphism and for which there does not exist any subordinate homomorphism. Hence if $h_{A}$ is an element of such an integrity basis then the equations $\Lambda=\Omega+\Xi$ with $\Lambda, \Omega, \Xi \in D_{0}$ and degree $h_{\Lambda}=$ degree $h_{\Omega}+$ degree $h_{\Xi}$ do not have any non-trivial solution. When the generalised exponents, i.e. all possible values of degree $h_{A}$, are known for any $\Lambda \in D_{0}$ we can obtain the integrity basis by a thorough analysis of these equations. In this way we shall prove the validity of the Couture and Sharp hypothesis about the existence of an integrity basis [7] in the particular case of the $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ algebra. This result is the following corollary of the above theorem.

Corollary. In the case of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ there exists an integrity basis which is the union of the bases of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(V_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$ (the basis of which contains two elements $h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 1}$ and $h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}$ of degree 1 and 2 respectively), of $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{3 \Lambda_{1}}, U(L)\right)$ and of $\operatorname{Hom}_{L}\left(V_{3 \Lambda_{2}}, \mathrm{U}(L)\right)$, with a syzygy $\left(h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}\right)^{3}=h_{3 \Lambda_{1}} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}$.

Proof. From the equations $h_{\Lambda}=h_{\Lambda^{\prime}} h_{\Lambda^{\prime \prime}}$ with $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime \prime} \in D_{0}$ and where $\Lambda=\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 3 \Lambda_{1}$, $3 \Lambda_{2}$ we obtain the following equations $2 \min \left(k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 \min \left(k_{1}^{\prime \prime}, k_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+3 n^{\prime}+3 n^{\prime \prime}=$ $k_{1}+k_{2}$, where $k_{1}+k_{2}=2,3,3$ respectively. From these equations it follows immediately that either $\Lambda^{\prime}=0$ or $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}=0$. Hence only the trivial homomorphisms are subordinate to the homomorphisms listed in the corollary. Now we must prove that the elements of this list are subordinate to at least one homomorphism which does not belong to the list. In fact we shall prove more than that; namely that the equations

$$
h_{\Lambda, m_{i}(\Lambda)}=h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2,1}}^{p_{1}} h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}^{p_{2}} h_{3 \Lambda_{1}}^{p_{3}} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}^{p_{4}}
$$

with $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ and $i=1, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$, have a unique solution for each value of $i$ if and only if there exists a syzygy $h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}^{3}=h_{3 \Lambda_{1}} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}$. We have degree $h_{3 \Lambda_{1}}=$ degree $h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}=3$. Then the above equations are equivalent with the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}+p_{2}+3 p_{3}=k_{1} \quad p_{1}+p_{2}+3 p_{4}=k_{2} \\
& p_{1}+2 p_{2}+3 p_{3}+3 p_{4}=m_{i}(\Lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\Lambda \in D_{0}$ and $i=1, \ldots, \min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$. Because we have three equations with four unknowns the solution is not unique. In fact we have for each value of $i$ exactly $i$ solutions as it follows from the single equation which remains after the determination of $p_{1}=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)-i+1: p_{2}+3 \min \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=i-1$. Firstly we shall consider the case $i=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)+1$ which give the minimum value 0 of $p_{1}$. In this case the minimum value of $p_{2}$ is 0 only if $\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Then $p_{3}=\left[k_{1} / 3\right]$ and $p_{4}=\left[k_{2} / 3\right]$. The maximum value of $p_{2}$ is $i-1=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. Then $p_{3}=\left[\left(k_{1}-\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) / 3\right]$ and $p_{4}=$ $\left[\left(k_{2}-\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) / 3\right]$. Hence $p_{3}=p_{4}=0$ if and only if $k_{1}=k_{2}$. If we suppose that $k_{1}=k_{2}$ then from the fact that the generalised exponents are free of multiplicity we obtain the identities:

$$
h_{3 \Lambda_{1}}^{k_{2} / 3} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}^{k_{2} / 3}=h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}^{k_{2}}
$$

for any value of $k_{2}$. Evidently, it is sufficient to impose only the case obtained for $k_{2}=3$ which is the syzygy noticed above. But the existence of this syzygy implies the unicity of the solution in the general case. Indeed, using this syzygy we can replace, when $i-1 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$, the factor $\left.\left(h_{3 \Lambda_{1}} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}\right)\right)^{\min \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)}$ with the factor $h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}^{3 \min \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)}$ (or equivalently to put $\left.\min \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=0\right)$ and when $i-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ we can replace the factor $h_{\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}, 2}^{p_{2}}$ with the factor $\left(h_{3 \Lambda_{1}} h_{3 \Lambda_{2}}\right)^{p_{2} / 3}$ (or equivalently to put $p_{2}=0$ ). In both cases we have a unique solution: $p_{1}=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)-i+1, p_{2}=i-1, \min \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=0$, $\max \left(p_{3}, p_{4}\right)=\left(\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)-\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right) / 3$, in the first case, and $p_{1}=\min \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)-i+1$, $p_{2}=0, p_{3}=\left[\left(k_{1}-p_{1}\right) / 3\right]$ and $p_{4}=\left[\left(k_{2}-p_{1}\right) / 3\right]$ in the second case. QED.

Remark 3. The existence of the integrity basis has been assumed without proof as an important ingredient in the description of all primitive completely prime ideals of $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ in the paper [9] by Dixmier.

Remark 4. From the remarks made in the introduction of [5] it follows that the generating functions defined by Couture and Sharp [7] are also generating functions for the generalised exponents.
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